From: Ravi Subramaniam

To: KennyCrump@email.com

Subject: arguments for mitigation of J etc

Date: 04/11/2011 10:36 PM

Kenny,

This was our argument:



Ravi.

Ravi Subramaniam Environmental Health Scientist NCEA-Washington, ORD, EPA N-7934, Two Potomac Yard, Crystal City (703) 347-8606, (301) 515-2701 (alternate office)

-----"Kenny Crump" < KennyCrump@email.com> wrote: -----

To: Ravi Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: "Kenny Crump" < Kenny Crump@email.com>


Date: 04/11/2011 03:47PM

Subject: RE: NY times on formaldehyde

Ravi,

Please give me some feedback on the argument at the top of page 40:





Kenny S. Crump

Louisiana Tech

P.O. Box 10348

Ruston, LA 71272-0046

Cell: 318-278-9426

FAX: 318-257-2182

KennyCrump@email.com

Home:

Kenny and Shirley Crump

2220 S. Vienna

Ruston, LA 71270

318-255-7058

From: <u>Subramaniam.Ravi@epamail.epa.gov</u> [<u>mailto:Subramaniam.Ravi@epamail.epa.gov</u>] Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 10:50 AM

To: Kenny Crump

Subject: NY times on formaldehyde

Kenny

Maybe the media does not focus on what the NAS said about the BBDR model. See the NY times article below.

http://www.nytimes.com/gwire/2011/04/08/08greenwire-nas-reviewers-slam-epas-formaldehydeassessmen-83879.html

Ravi

Ravi Subramaniam Environmental Health Scientist NCEA-Washington, ORD, EPA N-7934, Two Potomac Yard, Crystal City (703) 347-8606, (301) 515-2701 (alternate office)